John Kuber



OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ECONOMIST

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

RECEIVED

JAN 1 5 1986

MEMORANDUM

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR CORPORATION FINANCE

January 14, 1986

TO

Chairman John S.R. Shad

FROM

: Gregg A. Jarrell GAGANELL Tony Tri Tony tri

Office of the Chief Economist

RE

: Updating Evidence on Relative Market Prices of Dual-Class Common Stock, U.S. and Canadian Firms.

The data summarized below is developed in accordance with your direction for its inclusion in our on-going study "Corporate Goverance, NYSE Delistings and The Issuance of New Stock classes as An Anti-Takeover Device."

The attached table compares the 12 month-end average price of superior voting stock with that of inferior voting stock of 44 Amex and OTC firms with unequal voting rights for the October 1984 - September 1985 period. For 27 of these firms, the average price of superior voting stock traded at a premium, ranging from a low of one percent to a high of 27 percent, relative to the price of the inferior voting stock. The two classes traded at identical prices (or very close) for eleven cases. The remaining seven cases show the inferior voting stock trading at a premium, the highest (Brown Forman) being eight percent! We expect our further investigation to reveal that these seemingly perverse cases are explained by superior dividends or other compensations going to the inferior voting classes.

The overall average price premium of the superior voting stock relative to the inferior voting stock for both groups of Amex and OTC firms, taken together or separately, is about three percent. This average premium for hi-vote stock is lower than the five percent premium found in a study (1983) by Lease, McConnell and Mikkelson, but this difference is not "statistically significant."

A very recent working paper on dual-voting stock for Canadian firms shows an even larger premium of seven percent for superior voting to inferior voting shares. This average premium covers 33 publicly-traded Canadian firms that issued dual classes (usually via a stock split) between January 1976 and December 1984.

I think this advance information may be of interest to you.

CC: Commissioner Cox
Commissioner Peters
Commissioner Grundfest
Commissioner Fleischmann
Linda Quinn
Rick Ketchum
John Huber
Jeffry Davis
Joe Connolly
Gary Lynch

Comparisons of Market Prices of Publicly Traded Firms' Dual Classes of Common Stock with Unequal Voting Rights October 1984 - September 1985

	12 month-en (A) Stock with Superior Voting	Average Price (B) Stock with Inferior Voting	Part I Ratio of Average Price	<pre>% of months where superior voting stock relative to inferior voting stock is</pre>		
Amex Firms	Rights	Rights	A/B	<u> Higher</u>	Lower	Equal
American Fructos American Maize	e \$ 6.36	\$ 6.68	.95	8	75	17
Products	14.23	14.96	•95	0	92	8
Bio-Rad Lab.	17.80	18.00	.99	8	92	0
Blount, Inc.	15.81	15.98	.99	17	58	25
*Brown Forman	31.68	34.40	•92	0	100	0
Care Corp.	11.99	11.53	1.04	66	17	17
Charter Medical Crown Central	28.97	28.39	1.02	66	17	17
Petroleum Diagnostic/	13.77	11.04	1.25	100	0	0
Retrieval Sys. Everest &	7.23	6.77	1.07	83	0	17
Jenning Int'l Forest City	8.18	8.11	1.01	42	33	25
Enterprises	21.83	21.74	1.00	7 5	8	17
Harvey Hubbell	36.06	36.17	1.00	33	50	17
McRae Industries	2.83	2.91	.97	25	42	33
Moog, Inc.	14.79	14.77	1.00	33	33	34
Odetics, Inc.	8.20	6.46	1.27	83	8	9
*Plymouth Rubber Presidential	2.85	2.88	.99	25	43	32
Realty	11.75	9.92	1.18	100	0	0
Resorts Int'l Saunder Leasing	45.44	41.97	1.08	100	0	0
System	5.72	5.74	1.00	42	58	0
Three D. Depts.	4.57	4.41	1.04	33	25	42
United Foods	1.69	1.73	. 98	16	42	42
Watsco	10.06	8.80	1.14	100	0	0
A.O. Smith	16.78	15.69	1.07	100	Ö	Ö
Wang Lab	21.29	21.34	1.00	42	50	8
Mean of Amex			*. <u>.</u>			
Firms	\$ 15.00	\$ 14.60	1.03			

Comparisons of Market Prices of Publicly Traded Firms'
Dual Classes of Common Stock with Unequal Voting Rights
October 1984 - September 1985

			Part II			
	12 month-er	nd Average Price		<pre>% of months where superior voting stock relative to inferior</pre>		
	(A)	(B)				
	Stock with	Stock with				
	Superior	Inferior	Ratio of		voting stock is	
	Voting	Voting	Average Price	•	,	
OTC Firms	Rights	Rights	A/B	Higher	Lower	<u>Equal</u>
Associated Communica—						
tions	¢ 22 20	6 22 10	1 01		_	
	\$ 22.36	\$ 22.18	1.01	75	8	17
Base Ten	11 05	0.60				
System	11.05	9.63	1.15	100	0	0
Cerberonics	7.81	7.82	1.00	33	58	9
Colonial						
Banc Group	14.67	14.00	1.05	83	17	0
Dickenson						
Mines Ltd.	4.41	4.40	1.00	50	50	0
*Equitable of				. 00	30	Ū
Iowa	22.75	21.95	1.04	100	0	0
*First American		22170	104	100	U	U
Bank Trust	10.51	9.02	1.17	100	0	0
*Food Lion, Inc.	16.47	15.39	1.07	75	0	.0
Hechinger	22.04	21.25			8	17
Int'l Bank of	22.04	21.25	1.04	58	17	25
Washington Jones Inter-	11.55	10.40	1.11	58	33	9
cable	5.61	5.32	1.05	75	0	05
	2.01	3.32	1.05	7 5	0	25
*Kelly Services,	25 70	25 21	1 01	••		_
Inc.	35.79	35.31	1.01	42	50	8
Methode						
Electronics	11.93	11.51	1.04	83	9	8
Mobile						
Communications	9.43	9.02	1.05	100	0	0
*Multnomah						
Kennel Club	6.50	5.96	1.09	6 6	17	17
Pasquale Food	13.46	13.30	1.01	50	33	17
*Petroleum						
Helicopters	7.17	7.07	1.01	66	25	9
*Rose Stores	21.52	22.84	.94	17	83	Ō
Tele				_,		•
Communications	27.44	27.57	1.00	33	50	17
Wiley (John)		2.10.	1.00	33	50	17
& Sons	30.86	30.25	1 02	66	17	1 -7
~ ~~~~			1.02	66	17	17
Mean of OTC						
Firms	¢ 15 67	¢ 15 21	1 00			
TITIE	\$ 15.67	\$ 15.21	1.03			

^{*}With these firms, stock with inferior voting rights denotes non-voting.