President and Manager Syleo Pres. Secy. Bookkeeper "BROKER-DEALER-OFFERED" INVESTMENTS SEC

(N. 1707)

THE HAMMERS CORPORATION

1502 SOUTH FIRST ST. ABERDEEN, S. D. 57401 PHONE: 605 225-3406

March 12, 1966

RECEIVED

MAR 1 1966

To The Honorable Congress of The United States Washington, D. C.

SEC. & EXCH. COMM

The Honorable Congress:

The S.E.C. has made my business one of which I am very proud!
And as always, this Commission is on the beam when it opposes exempting Bank-operated investment Funds! That is without having to be controlled by the SEC.....

But I go one further and say: "Banks should stay out of the business all together. The Congress has already made this distinctly unlawful for banks to be in the Securities Business!"

Yet, I see with my own eyes clerks in the National Banks taking the orders for M.LF.&S every day and on one seems to think it is a violation..... BUT IT IS!.... Suppose I would get other bank clerks to take orders for me..... The NASD would not sanction this.... how come the congress allows this all over the USA? Under what enabling act do they operate....?

Perhaps all Broker-Dealers should start up their own private BANKS and ask NOT to be under the Federal Reserve Board in as far as the bank is concerned..... BIGNESS does not make them RIGHT! Nor does the bigness of Myrell Lynch etc. make it right for them to be offering their securities thru BANKS with untrained clerks taking the orders!

i hope that the CONGRESS will take some action that will stop this and other securities business presently operating in banks... except as the employees are Qualified..... Banks could get this job done if they were

required to call a broker-Dealer and if they would use The many Mutual Funds already in existence.... which I dare to say are better managed by the full - time SPECIALISTS. Most of the bankers that I know will admitt that they do not know anything about securities... and this goes with out saying their trust departments in most towns! The public will be better served if the banker were required to call in a Broker-Dealer to advise them concerning funds... and serve them by helping them to select a fund that meets their objectives.

Then too there is one thing else.... Contractual Plans should be OUT-LAWED in every state not just nine.... and the affract on these type of Funds by the SEC should be heeded by The CONGRESS.... I read with certain pleasure in 1968 hos the SEC apposed Frontal Load Funds since I have always thought they are unfair to investors!

While I am at it I want to also say that controlled sales forces should be also out-lawed.... these poor saps go out with one size since and try to lit it on everybody.....

A license to sell securities should be a license to all securities that are offered by whomever the issuer might bei. And NO ONE SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO OFFER any security if he hasn't passed NA SD qualification Examinations... whether it be for Principal or Reg. Rep. 1: And I include the local issues as well as the nationally offered issues. How many times have I seen my clients coazed to sell a sound Mutual Fund to get honswiggled into some speculative local issue that flopped... I Sure the crooks were put into the pen but my friends and former investor is still helding the "BAG" I Cant Congress give the SEC authority by making NASD affiliation a pre-requisite to sales by anyone?

Respectfully, (ENammers)

J. E. Hammers/kv