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EER since the election the query has arisen on atl sides, esperally among businesumen and financiers: "What will the President do with the tremendous new power at his command?
This question is the restilt of a political phenomenon-a popularand national verdict, almost unanimous as to states: a President beholden to no class or wroup for his re-election: an opposition reduced to legislative impotence.
It is not surprising that leaders of finance and business are bewildered, sensing their misunderstanding of public opinion with respert to the policies of the lresident and their own.
This query has often been addressed to me among others hy the editer of The Sattrday Evining Post. In my opinion, there is another query which needs to be anawered first. and I venture to propound it in return. It is: "What will the leaders of inance and industry do, in the light of the recent eleetion?'
If these leaders continue to dieplay an urrelenting disposition to hold fast to what they too long considered their prerocatives, and thus gnore the implications of the election of November 3, 1935, I feel sure that the powers of the Federal Government will perforce be extended. The dear days so happy in retrospert for the few are departed, never to return. The people of the United States will not soon again elect a government of the old Republican type regarding itself as an agency of the privileged. Not soom again, if ever, will we establish in office the philosophy that the welfare of the nation is advanced if we guarantee prosperity for the few. An English friend of mine puts the sithation best: "There cannot simultaneously and successfully exist in the same nation a politiond demorracy and an economic oligarchy.'

## The Burning Question

B
UT the immediate signs are proD pitious among the leaders of business and finance. The day after the election, the sign "Business as usual" was hung out. There were, to be sure, some disappointed faces, but, generally, the verdict was accepted in sportsmanlike spirit. The will of the majority has prevailed. The question is: How long will this feeling endure? That is for the leaders of business and finance to answer, and upon their answer, I think, depends the immediate future of legitimate business management in the United States,

It may be recalled that about two days after the election there was published an exchange of letters between John D. Rockeeller, Jr., and
the Denocratic Natioual (hairman, James A. Fiorley. Mr. Rockefeller congratalated Mr. Fuctey for his otatement of elvetion midnight, is which he promised that "there would be no reprisals." Take Mr. Rockefoler's leter as asmptomatic-good as far as it goes. But if it were followed by a thousand othe? such letters, it would be of value only if fortified by an attitude in conconance with the semtiment there in uttered. This sentiment would amount to a pledge that busincos will co-operate with the President in his labars far a permanat recovery.

## An Elght-Point Treaty of Peace

BUT in what spirit and on what terms is that part-ner-hip to be based? During the last rampaign, the spokemen of important basiness and finasce were, ato for as could be aseertained from public appearance, overwhelmingly opposed to the re-election of the Fresident. Rare, indeed, it was to find an iriportant executive who took the other pusition. In some instances, when an officer of a hurge company showed a disposition to foresee the fopular determination better then his felloss, he was bullied or cajoled into lining up with the rest. Ono result of this has been, in my opinion, unfortumate. It was not unfortumate in its effert on the result, for a pepulat majority of eleven million voter and an electoraj success in forty-six of the fortweight states demonstrated the futility of individual positions. But the misfor tune lies in the fact that many men who. by theis experience and patrotism, are best qualitied to advise the Congress must bring their advice into a hostile atmosphere of their own creating.
Possibly because I was not one of those who respondel to the pressure or emotionalism of mey naturad business Criends and asenciates, I have kuen asked, as in this instance, to offer an opinion as to what the future relations of (Government and business should be. Aceepting that role, thourh protesting my inadequacy for it, I venture to make certain proposals to those sections of American hasiness and finance which have at last become aware of the direction in which the sovereigu people have ordered the American (iovermant to wo.

There are, of course, a great many procram items that space will not permit me to enumerate at this time, but I should like to mention ejght point to which business should give careful consideration:

Frmer. Industry should lead the way in reviving the good aspects of the NRA, with the ultimate object of providing more employment in the United States and the elimination of unfair trade practices. This revival need not include government by axecutive order and remote control by Washington of the smallest concerns of villare life. Those mistakes, nat ural to a hasty formulation, were swept a way by the unanimous supreme Court decision, and I do not understand that anyone in anthority at Washington wants to revive them.

Second. A great many objections have been levied against the Sorial Security Act-objections relating primarily to method, and not to objective. We should remember that it has taken England mom than twenty-five years to arrive at a workable plan of social security. But there are a great many points in the current act that must le studied in order to make it practical. Ladustry, instead of offering all the objections to the plan that can be thought of, should, out of its experience, offer way and means to make it workable

Thimb. Industry surely recognizes the attempt of the President and the State Department to generate more business in the United States through the reciprocal-tariff treaties. It, therefore, should become


Hoto hy clakzits pheyes cushing
the object of finance and industry to tasist in perfeeting these treaties to their stated end of recapharing some of the lost foreign trade.
Fourtry. The financial community should address itself to a plan whereby corporations held by the publie shall more generally be run for the benefl of stockholders instead of that of the management. Up to date, management has proceeded as if in ownership of these corporations.
Fifyr. Federal legislation to reform investment trusts should be weleomed by the firaneial community.

Sixth. Federal legislation to reform reorganization committees formed for the benefit of security holders should be welcomed by the financiat community.
Seventar. Business leaders should give cordial eonsideration to Federal incorporstion for the parpose of acquising a uniform law under which all orporations can work.
Eighti. Private bankers should be eliminated from control and management of comporations.

## Heeding the Voice of the People

TN THESE suggestions I have discussed attitudes Ifor both the business and financial community. but, space not permitting amplification of the items affeeting both groups, I shall confine explanatory details to the field in which I have had most experi-enoe-the financial. I venture these suggestions in the hope that business and fiscal leaders have accepted the 1936 election as a most decisive factor in ber enonomic history and in that spirit whll read the President's second inaugural, about to bo delivered.
That election has propery been described as a thiumph of the democratic process. While intorpretations
have differed here and there, these conclusions were virtublly unanimous: That an overwheming majotity of the Americar people told the President to go forward with social and fconomie refoms and sad they wanted business and finance to comperate in carying on his genems idens; that no group or party can rham eredit for the President's victory and thes demand a controlling bat in the Govemment.
In aceepting these interpretations, whioh, indeed, must appear logical to any thinking man, the leaders of businese and finance mast pertore aceept the new day and labor in its light. The dictates of successful private mangement are firm that they should so proced. They can, it is true, point to the seventeen millions who, for one reason or another, and on argnments real or spurious, voted aganst the President. But in this country we abide by rule of the majority, and this is the third time the President has cubmitted his cause to the people. It is notable that on each subnission the mandite grew espucally in the congressional election of 1934 and in the presidential election of 1930. On both oecasions the sole issue was: "Shatl the President proceed as he thinks bes? ?"

I am disumeng that business and thance will accept this condition with a certiuin amount of thank-fulats- thankful that many irrecionsihte elements in our political life opposed the President; thankhul that because these extremists did not support the President, they cannot now rush forwarl with claims for recounition. The position of business and finance would now be far more dificuli if these temporary assoctates of theirs had been on the minning side.
I am assuming, also. that business and fnance now realize that the great weaknesses of their Republican political allies were: Their falure to rapudiate the oht order; their blindness to the need of change; their
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Gifure to recognize that the Amerman people are permanently disilinsioned with the leaders of 1009 : their failure to conceive that such genemalites as "The American way" are not sallicient to erase the memory of the people. It is a fact that only a handtal of the leaders of pusiness and finanee have, since pertisan polties veceeded the emerrency spint of 1933 , shown a real spint of co-operationi The fmancial communty reassumed its view of the Government as an alien thing, to be resisted or controlled. Through the press and otherwiwe, by radieal wituperation and through mise recort to the courts to enjoin whe process of povernment, the Administration was pictured as an onemy of bonest enterprise. "Finis" should be writien to all thes.

## A Basis for Cooperation

HAlRDLY a thought was given, from 1934 to 198 , to the uradual evolution in this country from less to more rovernment regulation in order to meet the baftine poblems of a modern complex socsety. Rut, bissuming this neglect is now retegeted to the past, I hove ventured to outline my ideas an to how brisiness conld tooperate under the new order.
Since the President has heen estahlished by the vote of the people in 1934 and 1936 as the national leader in fornomio as well ne soend affatre, I revert to what he said in tranmoting the Secmities Act of 1933: "What we seek is wetum to a clewrer under" standing of the ancient truth- that those who manage bunks, corpowtions a ou other arencies honding or using other people's mones, are bustees acting for others." This is the masion any thenciateremons that must come. In the same fashom, the Pesident at that bime gave lite and remewed mening to the simple code of fair derlinsw as appled to the stome exchanges and the monetary mankets thromg the enathent, of the Sertmites Exhange Act of 1934 . An investigation by the somate had revented the shomber disegand of elementary homesy and the unwilingness or inablity of those known as leaders to assure the Ammean poople that markets woald be fret of unfur mactices.
In this spirit, American fimance should itself begin really to deliver the pontrol of business coporations to their stockhokers, proceeding to do these things:
Comporation boads of dieetors should be purged of persons who serve ms officers of banks trust compraies, investment-lmanking bouses or similar fomcal organizations. Banlse and bankers should not be permited to control the affars of corporations in Which they own little or no atoek.
Here are some examples of the workings of the present sytem

A leading private banking firm haw for many years held a domman position in our largest ability company. This position has been held not through ownership of a large permentage of the stork, for the stock is scattered amone half a million stockholders, but presumably through personal contacts and control of the proxy maehinery by the tranagement.
Pume the past year, this company has been atfunding many of the bond isues of the system. The syodionte for emeh issue (Continued on Page 78)

I tried to leave them cussed calves to home," grated Eli, in a rage that his trimph was falling so flat. "But the old rip planied herself and I couldn't budge her no more than I could move Dixie Butte,"
"And you claim to be a stockman." sneered Cad, unable to put up with this lates exhibition of the man he had hoped to fit to take hic place. "No stockman would try to take the young away from a Percheron mare without
tries to get even by playing a little. lowdown trick, ain't big enough for the smallest job on the Spade. Your resignation is accepted!"
'So you come bleating every thing you know!' Eli fung at Lefty.
"Lefty didn't say a word," denied old Joe. "Maybe you've noticed that Lefty can take care of himself." Me gozed meaningly at Eli's bruised face and then at the two blocky calves old Doll was mothering.
dhed. ['m poing to retire" He blinked his eyes. "Ugh!" he grunted to cover his emotion. "Don't it beat thunder, Joe, how all them bumps you'get when you're young - like being kicked by a hoss, drue over the frozen wround and tromped on by a stampede-all blaze up and ache fresh as a daisy the minute you pass an few years?

Lefty, stanned, gaped speechlessly. Cal shapped at him: "Well, why don"t you git on your hoss and ride ont north
his neek.
Old foe's voice bellowed for him to pull up. Lefty stopped and locked back. Old Joe eupped his hands and shouted: "What did you say the rame of them breeters was where yom gue them ealves?"

Lefty selled buck the information Smiling, he rods north. A hard road stretched ahead of him, but his feet were firnly planted on the trail th economic independence.
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has bean headed by the private bankers referred to and the spread has been, uniformly, two points. The issues havo been of the highest grade and could have been sold many timess over with no effort on the part of the bankers. A one-point spread would have given them a good proft. A number of issues of smaller New England utility comWhies have been offered for public bidding during the past year or two. Inamost every case, the issues brought a higher price than was expected and were sold to the public with only a cnall spread, Within the past few weeks, a power-company issue of 3 /4's was purchased through competitive hidding by a syndicate at about $1021 / 2$ and resold to the public at about 1033 . Jbese are good bonds, but not comparable in quality to most of the bonds of the larger system.

During 1935, a leading New York banking house handled two large industrial-debenture issues for a fraction of a point. It is understood that the firm made a good profit on the issues and was planning to handle sevtral more on the same basis. The deals were very good for the industrial companies, as they cost very little and resulted in large savings in fixed charges. Presumably, many more industrial companies would have done similar financing. However it is understood that most of the large investment bankers commenced to boycott the heuse which worked for such a small profit, and, as a result, that firm had to discontinue the type of business mentioned above.

## When Bankers Step In

A large utility concern has for many ears been directed in its fimancial affairs by a fiscal agent for the company in New York. A few years ago, the latto $r$ suffered reverses. At the time, it owed the utility, as a deposititerm in the cupacity of tiscal agent, about $\$ 2,000$,000. The deposit liability was settled by the giving of a note secured by residence real estate. This note has been carried by the utility for a number of years as a cash item. Banking control made such a predicament possible.

A leading New York bank has for many years been in close contact with a leading power and industrial concern, presumably due to former personal relations between bank offeers
and corporation officials. Now the bank owns about 17 per cent of stock, most of which, presumably came from a loan defoulted by an official of the corporation. The bank now controls this great enterprise.

In 1929, a group of New York investment bankers acquired at a high price a large interest in a leading utitity. In 1930 , they formed a corporation to hold this interest. About $\$ 20,000,000$ had been borrowed from banks to make the acquisition. With the depression, earnings of the system dechined. However, in order to get funds to pay interest on the bank loan and to reduce it, these investment bankers forced the utility to continue to pay dividends, even though not earned and even though the company had a $525,000,000$ maturity in 1935 and no means in sight of meeting it. As a result of this diyjdend policy, the company was unable to meet the maturity in 1935 .

## High and Low Finance

The indications are very strong that the principal reason for the acquisition, in 1929 , by the New York investment, bankers was to enable them to get in on the financing of this large system. In 1935, the utility probably could have got holders of the $\$ 25,000,000$ debentures to extend the issue for five years. If no commissions were paid to get bondholders to extend, no registration under the Securities Act of 1933 would have been required. Many companies obtained extensions in this mammer. This utility, however, chose to register under the Securities Act and pay investment bankers-who controlled the company - two points to get the bonds extended. The original agreement with the bankers even provided that on bonds held by the bankers themselves, and extended, the bankers should be paid the two points for getting themselves to extend.

As a result of the plan to give the bankers two points, the company had to register. It filed a registration statement so complicated that only by great skill and great patience did the SEC learn the real story. It required six months of effort before the registration statement could become effective. Incidentally, in the process the SFC required a complete recasting of the financial statements in order to reveal what had been concealed-to wit, that for a
number of years dividends paid had exceeded net earmings. The bankers were not able to secure the concents of a sufficiently large number of the bondholders and late in 193.5 the utility took steps to reorganize under the Federal Bankruptcy Law.

This affair cost the rompany sevemal hundred thousand dollars in accounting, legal and other expenses as well as several hundred thousand in bankers' commissions, all futilely expended. It probably cost the company a million dollars of unnecessary expense and resulted in great loss of value of holdings to security holders. The investment bankers, however, got their commissions.

Recently, the SEO made a study at the reguest of Congress. This study showed serious shorteomings in our institution called the corporate truster and in the protective committee device which was supposed to be a method of protecting the holders of defaulted securities.

Basically, the problem arises beause of the helplessness of the individual security holder. The poorer he is the more insecure his position. Let us consider the case of Mr. A, who owns a bond of the $X$ Company. which has defaulted in its interest. The Y Trust Company is trustee under the mortgage. It alone can institute proceedings to foreclose and to take the steps necessary to protert the security holder. The researches of the SEC have diselosed that this company which calls itself a trustee is in effect a mere stakeholder. Although the sale of the bonds has been aided by the prestige of the Y Trust Company, it gives the individual security holder practically no protection and has assumed numerous relationships which make it almost. impossible for it to concern itself with the rights of the bondholders. Mr. A has no one who aets in his interest either to prevent abuses by the issuer or to protecthini when loss is threatened.

## A Misleading Word

There never was a more misieading term than the word "trustee" as applied to this situation. For years and years, the ingenuity of the Wall Street lawyers has been devoted to devising language which would immunize these trustees. so-called, from any sort of liability, with the result that for all
practicn purposes the elaborate indecture is manly concerned with what the trustee need not do, what it is notre sponsible for, and how much it is to be paid for the elusive beneft conferred The term "trustee," as appiied to the present system, must be abolished and some method devised to assare the small investor that he will receive from the agent who represents him a redome able amount of protection against tin wrongs of the issuer, even if the agent is to receqve a larger compensation.

## Protection That Doesn't Protect

The welivities of protective onmmittres constitutes one of the mor dicmal chapters in the andals of Amer ican finance. The theory of a protective committee is very simple. A company gets in finanemal differatizes. A ringle of ourity holder cannot art effectivel for himself. Mence a committee seels to secure tuthority to act for the sect. rity holders. But in proctice it has resulted in the most vicjou: lind of mposition, double-dealing and down rivht frand. The investication by the SEC has revealed two general types d protective committees, and in tireir practices there is little to choose be tween them. The first, and by far te more common, is the investment. banker committee, and the second is what might be called the entrepremet trpe, which is sponsored, usmally. by a lawyer and is seldom but a racket for fers.

The investment banker whasponsot a protective cornmittere unally justiffe his condurt on the ground that he ir protecting the investor to whom he sold seourities. This is seldom, if ever true.

The investment hanker, excent im mare casre, organizes these comm tees for selfish purpowes, and the ixter. fets of the crourity holders are a sed ondary considewtion at best. The record of the comminsion's invertiga rion teems with instances where thers existed major conflict of interest. Is many cases. the investment banker organized committees to act for 04 rhass of security holders when bey themselves held large blocks of a dif ferent class of securities. There pat bound to be a confliet, to the detr. ment of the hopless and helpless it vestor. In the field of foreign defant:
(Continued on Page 80)

Continued from page 78)
the investment bankers were fre quently found to be negotiating for the holders of long-term debt while at the same time they were owed by the issuer on short-term notes. Well, who won second prize? You guessed it. The bankers did not.
In many instances, the bankers organized a committee for the express purpose of taking command of the reorganization and, by covering up the evidence of their past wrongdoings, insulating themselves against liability, In practically every case, the bankers were motivated by a greedy desire to preserve or secure control, so that they might enjoy the perquisites thereof This is the equivalent of what we call "graft" in publie life.
In addition to all these factors, the legal fraternity have distorted, by the most ingenious phraseology, a simple relation of principal and agent into a status where the agent lays down all the conditions and the principal who owns the security and does the hiring has nothing to say.
After signing on the dotted line, the principal finds himself bound hand and foot by his protective committee. The immediate query is: "Who is being protërted?"
As for the entrepreneur legal racket, a measure of discretion in the Securities and Exchange Commission could easily outlaw the type of racketeer and still leave room for honest and vigorous minority committees to watch the reorganization.
I will hazard the guess that the Congress will abolish the institution of the investment-banker committee as it now exists. The record reveals that they have betrayed their trust.

The SEC is now conducting, at the request of Congress, an investigation into the history and practices of investment trusts. For months the press has reported results of this investigation, and the record is a disgraceful onie. Every type of corporate evil that one could imagine has been shown in this study.

## Investment Trusts

It is really a paradox that our banks should have been the subjeet of such legislative concern almost from the beginnings of the country while investment trusts have avoided practically all Government supervision since they first developed in this country after the war.

As a matter of fact, in one respect the investment trust needs greater supervision, since the security holder is unable to demand his money, as can a bank depositor. Consequently, the very threat of deposit liability is a veritable sword of Damocles over the banker's head-a threat, unfortunately, not present in the case of an investment trust.

Our old friend, duality of interest, is found most frequently in this form of institution.

The investment banker in this field has played a major part as sponsor with the usual result. The record is replete with instances where these bankers have served their selfish interest over that of those for whom they were trustees. There is no more justification for an investment-banking house sponsoring and controlling an investment trust than for a commercial bank to do so. The potentiality of evil is present and the choice of masters is inevitable. The relation itself should be outlawed by statute. In this way, the dealings as principal, the brokerage
fees, and such perquisites as the insurance business of controlled companies, will be eliminated.

Strangely enough, there was hardly a witness at the commission hearing who did not espouse the cause of Federal regulation of investment trusts. It is to be hoped that Congress will act in a thoroughgoing manner to give renewed meaning to the fiduciary concept so ably championed by the President.

Today we see on all sides the evils of investment bankers serving on industrial corporations. If our premise be valid, this practice must end, and I venture to predict that it will be outlawed.

I could fill many pages with recitals of how this relationship has been prostituted in favor of the banker and against the best interests of the issuer. Excessive financing, excessive underwriting charges, excessive bonuses, improper loans and a host of other evilswhich, if they occurred in public life, would be unequivocally condemned as graft-are of frequen tocctirrence where this relationship persists.

## Multiple Directors

Quite apart from the morality of the situation, the economic arguments seem to favor the abolition of this conflict of interest. The investment banker, if he is conscientious, has a full day's task attending to the job of being an investment banker. The law should not ignore how little can be done by one man, no matter what may be his reputation.

When ore takes into account the strict obligation which is supposed to be assumed by a director, the high standard of care and good faith, the case of one gentleman, a director in fiftyweight corporations, looks a little ridiculous. It is utterly impossible for him to serve adequately on the boards of one-tenth of these companies. Yet in mere numbers there is not only financial prestige but the inevitable perquisites.

Of course, the forms of polite society are observed. Thus: At a meeting of the directors of a New York transportation company, the subject of issuing bonds was up for discussion, and the minute book records that this director left the room. Then the issue was voted and his firm was named as principal underwriter. The minute book then records that the multiple director came


## Taxation Dangers

In considering modification of the capital-gains tax, I think the Government should allow increment growing out of sound investment to a man while he lives, and not take it away from him in wholly disproportionate taxes. England has found it salutary to encourage initiative by permitting a man to enjoy the fruits of his earnings from investments while alive, and has been satisfied to take its proportionate share of those earnings from the beneficiar-jes-recipients of wealth unearned by themselves - after the death of the man whose labors purchased the wealth.

The argument for the repeal of the present tax should not only be a traditional one; it should also be based upon the fact that while Ameriean
citizens are taxed on their security ap preciation, other countries impose no such tax upon thoir nationals; the foreigner can trade to tremendous advantage in our security market, sell at the appropriate time and avoid assessment on his profits, whereas the American citizen is prevented, by the taxation laws of his country, from exercising even ordinary prudent judgment. Incidentally, of course, the effects are also harmful. If Americans in large numbers are prevented from selling the securities and capturing profits, then stook inflation is accentuated, because there is a premium put on hold ing stocks off the market. An artificial scarcity is thereby created that will make effective unwarranted price levels which inevitably and altima,tely mast collapse.

Without spelling the matter out in detail, I can also see a benefit to the general economy of the country by the free exercise of judgment of practically all security holders.

## Corporation Surpluses

The profits in security appreciation in recent years have been very great in the aggregate. If these profits were taken and used, then, on the mero principle of velocity of money circula tion, business would be stimulated by the increased purchasing power thereby created. As it is, an inert and frozen mass of purchasing powier remains idle.
My reasons are several for hoping that the Administration will take steps to undo the harm it has done to shrewd corporate management by the oncoming undistributed-profit tax on corpos rations. It is not enough to point out that the act has accomplished its purs pose; that the $\$ 650,000,000$ additiond. revenue needed by the Administration is assured by the flood of taxable divi* dends currently being made by Amere ican business corporations. The larger consideration, to my way of thinking: should prevail. The larger considerg tion calls for our restoring as a virtue in American business life the corporate practice of providing a reserve against the inevitable rainy day.

We have all had experionce with cort porations which, no longer than three or four years ago, had substantial operating deficits and would have been plunged into bankruptcy had they not accumulated surpluses in former pros* perous years. It is a terrible thing to contemplate another period of business recession with large American corporations inadequately equipped to ride through the storm.

In recent years, an economic revolu: tion has occurred in this country, in the sense that millions of people have become investors in securities and count upon continuity of their dividend returnsin budgeting their living expenses Anything that would interrupt the continuous flow of dividends will rob the thrifty American investor of part of his livelihood, and any law which makes such a state of things possible is inherently a bad law. I admit that the law has some substance. I admit that the hoarding of resources beyond the needs of potential crises by some of our corporations cannot be justified. I know that during the period of wantoin extravagance and bad judgment, within the past ten years corporations spent money on plants and expansions for which they have never since had a need, and it would have been far better to have distributed those funds to stockholders.
(Continued on Page 82)
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But thesefew hntances do not offer justification of a tax which weak ons the structure of a tybutal Amerinan bustness corponation and wheh actually penabzes the small and younger boneorn that has never been able, in the course of its development, to arebminlate a treasmry smopias.

I hope and confdently beteve that thesi mequalifos and shoreomingeth the prespat law laving eorporabion strphas damings will receive the prompt whention of the Genate Finame Committore

Ifed in penerak, that we are netoly stfering sochal growing pans simint to those (ircat Britain experiended ateneration ago. rlais suddere develomment of our economic hite into the comporate form, the fise of minnt busimess, the separation of ownership and eontrol. the evolution of mamarement as an interest soparate and distinet from, and often antaronstie to, the owners of at business, have reared a thoustud diftculties. (imadually we are solving them under the leaderthip of the lresident. who is sponsoring the revival of stand ards of democracy to be appled to corporations of the present day. The very decisiveness of the rerent election ought to make us thantiful that at
least we know how the vast matority of the American people feel, make us thankfol that the President's mandate to continte his policy of government comes to him not from witch burners, crackpots and visionary reformers, who have, in my judgment, been greatly overestimated but from a peoplegrateful to a man who rescued the country from despuir. The President has given life and reality to the hopes of milionthat the fovermment can be a conseious protector agentes vicissitudes of Ife and that it man, by the exercise of intelligence and courage, extimatervin which had lrought the country to ith knees,

The mptan of montry and the financer cibn no longer hope to gombat phownsw wovernment by mealo of sweeping assatult on statates writurn it goodfath, or by lamehing phrases machas " Vore business b Govermmem: iess (fovernment in business"; "Commminm" or "The Amercan Way:" These phrases have lost their masio and their poputary ${ }^{\text {a }}$.
larbiciation the fruits of efonomie progest has been ectablistied a it fixed reality. The thited ftates he come of age. Business and fimate hereforth must deal whit it and io people as partmers, not as minor watas.

## BOER'S LUCR

saw a bhe dianome poe ont ont the grownd What did you dal" (all sour boas? No. Youstopexd on it io histe it with your foot.

Ho went on dewthing how back hat worked the big damond under a some in the corner with has toes. and how that might the moon setearly and how, after that, he wert bark to the pit in the dark eded found it. and histened for somaks, and when die heard none, erept ont to the rowd.
"Where did you fro frome theme Jaw: lat fell you whore Von hanted out ihe house of a diggen named 1) raco. two mbes etast. set hatek amonge some cum trex. The homed was fork. Whan sou had livioned io mathe state monaly followed you, vou knosked and kave goler tame and the bras of the batase let youn in,
"chmebory Mos: bures," meplied the ho:


 othere whth if he matmited recoives fivepments

If matemanherm hath ashe ats an agg, for hinto rive wot sombhe What Wid voll do wibl the monow lase it What?

Jath fital ome just that with most of fhe amombl. but somit of if he hat maved. llentaten that he her has the nibole.
 L whl ant shame won before Bata Pieter This man who bonghe vour diamond took yon bebind himo a litle room wibloit undowsto tak to 3on. Ater ho paid rou this monay, what lappened" ${ }^{\circ}$
"美ghont bath doom," sadolack.
 arasing fight. Suadmaly herapped ont:

Shat mide you shoothim, Jack? The Baralong boy sprany forward to the (able. Misface the; olor of ashes.

No, hot. Not, me, bans! Never
 Piotor likewise whe hume buersat on the exge of the chair instening with crenched bingors to thisstory of how grat fortune had knoched on the doon of his shatek and he hat not heard.
"I ledereyou whensou ay vou du not shoof Bats Draco. I will tell rou whathapmend. While yon were stanber bith sontroones in sout humd. whoboty alse knowked at the fromt ioor. Bats Praco make rote wo but the back door, gherk. in the ditrk, bit - O, dman daterg home Vou were soared. You thourht the man might be l3atapmar follosmy som. So yon hemen thomah a mhane of the her moth, 100 san Bata Dratio hape the haparain. Xon sum himgoto the doom
 sequmto the rome Dhatmat?

The Geply cotle not have been mom-
 laforamomentrat binkngheses.
"Bansplem morbe, I thuk Tig nymat Blabk ototh over hembere fintit man hbe bhis. Bats Jrame lum
 Mares thll m hoor ${ }^{*}$

Atho lacterthous be charmehnal binn athed trode to the table his great hrome rholing with sorns.
"No, Dieter" sumd Jan "Let ne" hande the hog."

Pister did now retarn to his khat. Fathe let Jan continue hes questionmg.

Then what did the bie man do? ${ }^{*}$ Jan asked. for the sake of askitur somew thing.

HTack s earlier rably had shaken him, hispresent m some wars starlled himimore.
"ITm iake off hat and turn it around, and then turn aromblous own melf. Then lean over. Hunt throught pockets, find big diamond, money:" "Then what""
Then me run. Dunno what.
The relief whs so great that Jan beratlaushint soflr. lant his laughter had no amasement in il. Peter also began laughing at nothing at all.

